NEW SARCOPTERYGIANS FROM THE CARBONIFEROUS POTI FORMATION AND FROM THE PERMIAN RIO DO RASTO FORMATION, BRAZIL
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ABSTRACT – A new material of sarcopterygian fishes from the Mississippian of the Poti Formation and the Lopingian of the Rio do Rasto Formation are described here. The specimens consist of isolated scales, which show numerous thin and parallel growth lines, a pattern of ornamentation usually found in actinistians, differing from the Westoll-lines present in dipnoans. A precise identification of this new material was not possible, because the scales lack more accurate diagnostic features. The Mississippian occurrence spreads the distribution of coelacanthiforms in the Brazilian Paleozoic, which was restricted to the Permian. This material represents the oldest actinistian record in Brazil and the first Mississippian report of this group from South America. The occurrences from the Rio do Rasto Formation seems to have freshwater affinities, which was inferred by the depositional environment and associated biota. All these new data are important to the comprehension of coelacanths paleogeographical distribution during the Paleozoic in South America.
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INTRODUCTION

Description of isolated scales of sarcopterygians is usual in the literature, mainly related to coelacanths. The first coelacanth scale was described by Saint-Seine (1950), who erected the Jurassic genus *Rhipis*, with the type-species *Rhipis moorseli*. Casier (1965) named a new species for *Rhipis*, *Rhipis tuberculata*, and pointed out the occurrence of an undetermined species. Schaeffer (1962) erected the Devonian genus *Chagrinia* also based on features of the scales. Forey (1981) pointed out that the dubious species of the Carboniferous genus *Rhabdoderma* could be distinguished by the pattern of ornamentation of scales. In the Upper Triassic of Canada, a scale of coelacanth was reported, which probably belongs to the genus *Garnbergia*, due to its ornamentation resembling that of the type-species *Garnbergia ommata* (Yabumoto & Neuman, 2004). These authors also examined scales of the Triassic mawsoniid coelacanths *Chinlea* and *Diplurus*, and they verified that the scales differ by the presence of a major number of ridges in *Chinlea*, as well as by the presence of tubercles in *Diplurus*.

Although dipnoans are known mostly for their tooth plates, there are few descriptions of scales. For instance, Young & Muddy (2002) furnished a comprehensive study of the fish fauna from Devonian of Venezuela, in which they described an unequivocal large ovoid lungfish scale. Some taxa are easily distinguished by scale morphology and histology. However, the different types of rhomboid and elasmoid scales are distributed within Sarcopterygii and Actinopterygii (Schultze, 2016). The common ganoid scale of lower Actinopterygii is sometimes ornamented with grooves or ridges, however the growth lines are not visible at the scale surface due to the ganoine and enamel layers overlapping them (Sire et al., 2009; Schultze, 2016). Cosmoid scales (the other type of rhomboid scales besides ganoids) are typical of Sarcopterygii, however not all members of this clade, such as Actinistia, possess this type of scale (Meinke, 1984; Schultze, 2016). Actinistia exhibits typical elasmoid scales,
with ornamentation in their exposed portion. A similar scale pattern is seen in tristichopterids into Osteolepiformes (see Young, 2008), but differ from the actinistian morphotype by more fragile ornamentation of ridges. In addition, the ornamented surface of tristichopterids covers almost half of the scale surface, contrasting with the smaller ornamented portion of Actinistia.

In South America, the records of Paleozoic sarcopterygians are mostly present in the Permian and they are represented mainly by isolated scales. They occur in the Permian of Bolivia (Vitiacua Formation; Janvier, 1991), Brazil (Pedra de Fogo, Corumbataí, Tatuí and Irati formations; Weiss & Garcia, 2005; Chahud & Petri, 2012; Miguel et al., 2015a) and Uruguay (Mangrullo Formation; Piñeiro et al., 2012), but they are poorly described (Miguel et al., 2015a,b). The exception is an angular bone attributed to Coelacanthus from Vitiacua Formation (Janvier, 1991) and a pterygoid from Pedra de Fogo Formation, which is similar to those found in Rhabdoderma (Weiss & Garcia, 2005). In addition, there is a record of a dipnoan scale from Devonian of Venezuela (Young & Muddy, 2002).

Invertebrates (e.g., bivalves and brachiopods) and vertebrates compose the paleofauna of the Poti Formation. The vertebrate fauna includes spine of Xenacanthus tocantinsensis, as well as paleoniscoid remains (Santos & Salgado, 1970; Santos & Carvalho, 2009). The Riacho Berlengas outcrop yielded a spine attributed to a ctenacanthid (Brito & Ferreira, 1985). In addition, there are some fragments of bones and scales, which probably belong to paleoniscoids (Figueroa & Machado, 2015). The paleofauna of the Rio do Rasto Formation is composed by conchostracans and mollusks (Ferreira-Oliveira & Rohn, 2010; Simões et al., 2015) associated with a vertebrate fauna represented by chondrichthyans (e.g. sphenacanthids and xenacanthids; Pauliv et al., 2012, 2014), actinopterygians (e.g. Dias, 2012), dipnoan tooth plates (e.g. Toledo & Bertini, 2005). Other vertebrates from the Rio do Rasto Formation include temnospondyl records (e.g. Ramos & Vega, 2011; Eltink & Langer, 2014), pareiasaurs, archosaurs and synapsids (e.g. Martinelli et al., 2016), besides of the ichnofossils reported by Dentzien-Dias et al. (2012) and Silva et al. (2012).

The goal of this study is to describe some coelacanth scales from the Poti Formation, lower Carboniferous (Mississippian) of the Parnaíba Basin, and from the Rio do Rasto Formation, upper Permian (Lopingian) of the Paraná Basin.

MATERIAL & METHODS

The material from the Mississippian Poti Formation is represented by only one isolated incomplete scale. It was collected in 2009 by Deusana Maria da Costa Machado and is housed at the Paleontological Collection of the Laboratório de Estudos de Comunidades Paleozoicas at the Departamento de Ciências Naturais of the Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, State of the Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, under the catalogue number UNIRIO 007-Px. This specimen was collected in the Riacho Berlengas outcrop, 11 km to the southeast from the Barro Duro County (5°49’01,88"S, 42°30’54,05"W), State of Piauí, Brazil (Figure 1).

The material from the Lopingian of the Rio do Rasto Formation includes three isolated incomplete scales. They were collected in 2002 by Fernando Sedor and Rafael Costa da Silva and are housed at the Paleontological Collection of the Museu de Ciências Naturais at the Universidade Federal do Paraná, Curitiba, State of Paraná, Brazil, under the catalogue numbers MCN.P.1197, 1198 and 1199. They

Figure 1. Location map of the collection locality in Mississippian Poti Formation, State of Piauí, Brazil.
come from the locality of Serra do Espigão (26°44’55.92”S, 50°18’55.38”W), State of Santa Catarina, Brazil (Figure 2).

Taking into account the absence of Westoll-lines in the studied scales, we compared the specimens reported here with the actinistian scales belonging to specimens of Changxingia and Youngichthys housed at the Institute of Vertebrate Paleontology and Paleoanthropology (China), and Diplorus, Rhabdoderma, and Chinlea housed at the American Museum of Natural History (United States) and Natural History Museum (United Kingdom), by directed observation, with Chagrinia, Rhipis, and Garnbergia using high resolution photographs, as well as from the available literature (e.g. Casier, 1965; Schaeffer, 1962; Yabumoto & Neuman, 2004). Most of the specimens permit only inferences about the distal area, which is ornamented.

Description of actinistian scales is usually based on their morphology (see for instance, Forey, 1981; Yabumoto & Newman, 2004; Chahud & Petri, 2012). The number of ridges, further of their arrangement and morphology, is a useful tool to identify these scales.

Institutional abbreviations: MCN.P, Museu de Ciências Naturais, Peixes; UNIRIO Px, Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Peixes.

SYSTEMATIC PALEONTOLOGY

Class SARCOPTERYGII Romer, 1955
Subclass ACTINISTIA Cope, 1871

Material. The material from the Poti Formation (UNIRIO-007-Px) is constituted by a fragmented scale of about 3 mm long and 5 mm wide. The material from the Rio do Rasto Formation is constituted by three scales, apparently showing different morphotypes (MCN.P.1197, 1198, 1199), with similar morphology and pattern of ornamentation usually found in actinistians.

Description. The poor preservation of the specimen UNIRIO-007-Px does not allow delimiting the true shape of the entire scale, but the pattern of ornamentation is clearly observable and typical of the coelacanths. The anterior portion of the scale exhibits a series of delicate growth lines, as imprints. The ornamentation on the exposed portion is represented by conspicuous ridges (Figure 3). MCN.P.1197 is preserved as an imprint and it is partially broken, therefore we are unable to describe the precise shape of the scale, but it seems to be rounded. The maximum length is about 26 mm, the width is about 11 mm and the exposed field is about 4 mm long (Figure 4). The anterior portion of the scale bears numerous thin and parallel growth lines. The scale bears about 20 very close well-marked ridges intercalated with grooves on its posterior portion (exposed portion). The anterior margin of the exposed portion appears to be triangular. MCN.P.1198 is a fragmented scale apparently thick with 10 mm in estimated width. It seems to lack ornamentation, except in the posterior margin (pattern commonly found in Actinistia) (Figure 5), being represented by parallel and straight ridges. MCN.P.1198 differs from MCN.P.1197 in size, shape, and type of ornamentation. The MCN.P.1199 is a 15 mm long and 3.5 mm wide fragment. It shows mineralized collagen fibers running from the focus to the borders of the scale (Figure 6). The growth lines are well spaced and slightly curved, not visible near the scale focus. The collagen fibers run parallel each other, but their angle changes in the boundary with growth line, forming a zigzag pattern. Such a zigzag pattern is known in the extant coelacanth Latimeria (Meunier et al., 2008) and an isolated Triassic actinistian scale (Romano et al.,

Figure 2. Location map of the of the collection locality in Lopingian Rio do Rasto Formation, State of Santa Catarina, Brazil.
These new materials from the Poti and Rio do Rasto formations were assigned to Actinistia, due to their typical pattern of ornamentation. A classification in a least inclusive taxonomical level was not possible, because they lack other diagnostic features.

**DISCUSSION**

The scales herein studied show numerous thin and parallel growth lines, a pattern of ornamentation usually found in actinistians, differing from the Westoll-lines present in dipnoans.

Actinistia (coelacanths) is a clade of sarcopterygian fishes (lobe-finned fishes) mainly represented in the fossil record. The group shows a remarkable distribution in the Paleozoic and Mesozoic, with numerous occurrences in Pangea (mainly in its Northern portion), and later in Gondwana and Laurasia (see Forey, 1998). The only living member of this group is represented by the genus *Latimeria*, found along the Eastern African Coast (from the Comoran Archipelago to South Africa) and in Indonesia (Island of Manado Tua, Sulawesi region) (Smith, 1939; Erdmann et al., 1998; Forey, 1998).

These are the first records of coelacanths from the Poti and Rio do Rasto formations. The coelacanths from the Permian of South America differ from *Rhabdoderma* in the ornamentation pattern of the scales (Forey, 1981). Furthermore, this genus does not occur in the Permian, which also indicates that the pterygoid from Pedra de Fogo (Alves, 2010) does not belong to this taxon.

The Mississippian Riacho Berlengas outcrop shows freshwater or even influence of coastal environment (Figueroa & Machado, 2015). No coelacanth record in the Devonian or Carboniferous periods has been found in South America until now. Therefore, the Poti Formation coelacanth occurrence is important for understanding the biogeographic distribution of this group in the Paleozoic of South America.

According to Chahud & Petri (2008), basins with coelacanth occurrences were interconnected during the Permian times by continental ways, probably through rivers and lakes. The presence of freshwater conchostracans confirms the lacustrine paleoenvironment in the Rio do Rasto Formation (Ferreira-Oliveira & Rohn, 2010). The lower Permian of Pedra de Fogo and Irati formations shows a faunal similarity, especially by the occurrences of xenacanthids and the petalodont *Itapyrodus punctatus* (Chahud & Petri, 2008) and now coelacanths. These faunal similarities also can be used to support connections among these units during the Permian.

The distribution of coelacanths during Lopingian is very scarce. In a comprehensive review of coelacanth fishes, Forey (1998) listed *Coelacanthus*, *Changxingia* and *Youngichthys* as the only late Permian taxa. The two last mentioned coelacanths were found in China and their scales are distinguishable by their respective shape (in *Changxingia* it is oval, in contrast with the elliptical scales of *Youngichthys*) (Wang & Liu, 1981).
CONCLUSIONS

These incomplete scales described here exhibit similar ornamentation to Coelacanthiformes, which support its classification in this group. These new occurrences in South America emphasize the widespread distribution of coelacanths during the Paleozoic. The Mississippian occurrence extends the distribution of Actinistia in the Paleozoic of Brazil, which was so far restricted to the Permian. In addition, the material described herein is carefully considered the oldest actinistian record of Brazil and the first Mississippian occurrence for South America. These new data are important to understand the distribution of coelacanths during the Paleozoic of South America. The Lopingian occurrences from the Rio do Rasto Formation seems to have had freshwater affinities, inferred from the depositional environment and associated biota, which supports eventual connections between South American basins in the Permian.
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Figure 6. Incomplete scale from the Rio do Rasto Formation (MCN.P.1199). Scale bar = 5 mm.
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