A JUVENILE SAUROPODOMORPH TIBIA FROM THE ‘BOTUCARAÍ HILL’, LATE TRIASSIC OF SOUTHERN BRAZIL
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ABSTRACT – The ‘Botucaraí Hill’ outcrop yielded a diverse Late Triassic vertebrate fauna, including several dinosaur remains, but most collected specimens are represented only by isolated bones. We present here a new dinosaur tibia from that site, particularly notable for its small size. Anatomical comparisons and a phylogenetic analysis support a sauropodomorph affinity for the specimen. In addition, histological analyses on transverse sections of the tibial shaft revealed a very thin bone wall, characterized by woven matrix with high vascularization, and the complete absence of lines of arrested growth (LAGs). These features suggest that the specimen was undergoing rapid and uninterrupted bone growth prior to death. Indeed, the small size of the specimen, the poor ossification of the bone extremities, and the absence of LAGs indicate that it represents a juvenile individual, as not previously recorded for the Brazilian Upper Triassic dinosaur fauna.
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INTRODUCTION

The Upper Triassic Candelária Sequence of southern Brazil yields an important record of the early stages of dinosaur radiation, including some of the oldest dinosaurs. Reported taxa include the herrerasaurid Staurokousaurus pricei proposed by Colbert (1970) and the sauropodomorphs Saturnalia tupiniquim and Pampadromaeus barberenai described by Langer et al. (1999) and Cabreira et al. (2011), respectively, in addition to other reported undetermined or fragmentary remains (Müller et al., 2014, 2016; Pretto et al., 2015). These dinosaurs are part of a faunal assemblage coeval to that of the Ischigualasto Formation of northwestern Argentina, mostly due to the occurrence of the hyperodapedontid rhynchosaurs that characterize the Hyperodapedon Assemblage Zone (Schultz et al., 2000; Langer, 2005a,b). A slightly younger fauna, correlated to the Argentinean Los Colorados Formation, is recovered from the upper portions of the Candelária Sequence. Reported dinosauromorphs include the silesaurid Sacisaurus agudoensis Ferigolo & Langer, 2007, the putative
theropod *Guaiabasaurus candelariensis* Bonaparte, Ferigolo & Ribeiro, 1999, and the sauropodomorph *Unaysaurus tolenitnii* Leal et al., 2004, apart from other fragmentary or not yet described materials (Bittencourt et al., 2012a,b; Müller et al., 2015).

Although less abundant than that of Argentina, the Brazilian Late Triassic dinosaur record is increasing due to the intensification of fieldworks and the reassessment of previous collections. In this context, even fragmentary materials are important, allowing a more detailed understanding of the paleofaunas and their morphological diversity. In this paper, we increase the dinosaur record of the upper Candelária Sequence with a new dinosaur tibia from the ‘Botucarai Hill’ outcrop, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Although fragmentary, the specimen is remarkable for its significantly smaller size compared to that of coeval Brazilian dinosaurs. Along with a comparative description, we provide histological data, in order to assess the ontogenetic stage of the individual.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

The Botucarai Hill site is one of a series of outcrops exposed at cuts along the margins of BR 287 road, in the outskirts of Candelária, Rio Grande do Sul (RS), Brazil (Bittencourt et al., 2012b). The locality from which the specimen was collected shows the typical lithology of the upper portions of the Candelária Sequence of the Santa Maria Supersquence (Zerfass et al., 2003; Horn et al., 2014); composed mostly of pink thin sandstones deposited in a fluvial context. The section shows occasional rhythmic intervals with millimetric mudstone layers, as well as occasional mud intraclasts. The fossil record of the locality includes the dicynodont *Jachaleria candelariensis* Araújo & Gonzaga, 1980, the prozostodontian cynodont *Botucaratherium belarminoi* Soares, Martinelli & Oliveira, 2014, as well as an indeterminate phytosaur, a stereospondyl amphibian, and several isolated archosaur teeth (Araújo & Gonzaga, 1980; Dornelles, 1990; Kischlat & Lucas, 2003; Dias-da-Silva et al., 2009; Soares et al., 2014). An isolated tooth referred to *Riograndia guaiabensis* was also reported by Soares et al. (2011) from the upper portions of the outcrop. The fossil occurrences, as well as the lateral correlation to nearby outcrops, suggest that the site is representative of the *Riograndia Assemblage Zone* (Soares et al., 2011).

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

MMACR-PV-028-T consists of a single tibia, collected by the team of Museu Municipal Aristides Carlos Rodrigues (MMACR), led by Mr. Belarmino Stefanello. The material underwent some mechanical preparation, using small chisels, and fractures were consolidated using cyanoacrylate-based adhesives. The anatomical description followed the nomenclature proposed by Weishampel *et al.* (2004). The phylogenetic relationships of MMACR-PV-028-T were assessed based on the dataset of Bittencourt *et al.* (2014). A total of thirteen characters were scored (Appendix 1), eleven for the tibia and two inferred for the astragalus based on tibial traits. No other changes were conducted on the original character matrix, which was analyzed with the software TNT 1.1 (Goloboff *et al.*, 2008) under ‘Traditional search’ with 10,000 replications of Wagner trees (with random addition sequence) and random seed of 0. The tree bisection reconnection (TBR) algorithm was employed with 20 trees saved per replication. No characters were ordered.

For the osteohistology analyses, a midshaft section of the bone (Figure 1) was extracted and embedded in clear epoxy resin. The sample was thin sectioned following the methods adopted by Chinsamy & Raath (1992). Imaging and measurements were made using a petrographic polarized microscope Carl Zeiss Microscope GmbH and photographs were obtained using an AxioCam ERc 5s microscope camera. Cortical thickness was calculated as the ratio between the cross sectional bone wall thickness and the cross sectional radius of the bone, and expressed as a percentage. Employed histological terminology follows Francillon-Vieillot *et al.* (1990), Reid (1996), and Starck & Chinsamy (2002).

**RESULTS**

**Description**

The tibia (Figure 1) is 110 mm long (proximodistally) and is slightly damaged by superficial fractures. More significant taphonomic damages are observed in the craniomedial surface of the proximal quarter of the bone, where the outer structure collapsed resulting in a conspicuous depression on the medial surface. The proximal articulation of the tibia is flat, with a triangular outline (Figure 1E). This morphology differs from that of most dinosauriform tibiae, but resembles that of a particular specimen (ZPAL Ab III 460/3) of *Silesaurus opolensis* Dzik, 2003, which is itself quite distinct from other tibiae referred to that taxon. It is possible, however, that the proximal end of MMACR-PV-028-T is distorted, following the deformation on its medial margin. The lateral (fibular) condyle is more cranially set (Figures 1B, E) than the medial condyle, as in early sauropodomorphs, ornithischians (Langer & Benton, 2006), *Marasuchus lilloensis* (Romer, 1972) and *Pseudolagosuchus major* Arcucci, 1987. This morphology contrasts with that observed in lagerpetids, many silesaurids (e.g. *Asilisaurus kongwe* Nesbitt *et al.*, 2010, *Silesaurus opolensis*, and *Sacisaurus agudoensis*), herrerasaurids, and theropods, in which both condyles are positioned at the caudal margin of the proximal end of the tibia (Dzik, 2003; Langer & Benton, 2006; Nesbitt *et al.*, 2010; Langer & Ferigolo, 2013). A shallow intercondylar notch (Figures 1C, E) separates both condyles of MMACR-PV-028-T caudally. The cnemial crest is poorly developed (Figures 1A-B, E), differing from that of most dinosaurs and resembling more that of other dinosauromorphs (Langer & Benton, 2006). It is marked by a very faint transverse groove that is continuous to an equally faint incisura tibialis on the lateral surface of the bone. The cnemial crest seems to have been directed craniolaterally, but this may be an artifact of preservation. In lateral view, it does not surpass the height of
the proximal condyles. It neither extends far distally, being restricted to the proximal quarter of the tibial proximodistal length. The lateral margin of the fibular condyle is rounded (Figure 1E). There is no evidence of a fibular crest on the lateral surface of the tibia, differing from the condition seen in most early dinosaurs. A distinct foramen perforates the lateral surface of the bone (Figure 1B), probably related to the nutritive tibial artery (Baumel, 1993; Langer, 2003). It is located ventral to the fibular condyle, at the level of distalmost extent of the cnemial crest. The tibial shaft is significantly narrower than the proximal extremity of the bone, and is circular in cross-section. Its mediolateral width increases slightly towards the distal end, resulting in a sub-rectangular distal outline (Figure 1F), as seen in early sauropodiforms such as *Eoraptor lunensis* Sereno et al., 1993, *Panphagia protos* Martínez & Alcober, 2009, or *Saturnalia tupiniquim* (see Langer, 2003; Martinez & Alcober, 2009; Sereno et al., 2013). The craniolateral corner of the distal end of the tibia is sloped proximally, forming an articular surface that accommodated the ascending process of the astragalus (Figure 1F). Conversely, the caudolateral portion of that articulation forms a distinct flange, the tibial descending process (Figures 1B–C, F), that caudally covered the ascending process of the astragalus. This process is slightly projected laterally, possibly touching, although not caudally covering, the fibula when articulated. A faint depression separates the caudal descending process from the articular facet for the ascending process of the astragalus. This is contiguous to a marked groove at the lateral surface of the tibial shaft, the proximal extension of which is obliterated by a fractured portion of the bone (Figure 1B). In caudal view, the distal portion of the tibia bears a sharp crest (Figure 1C) that extends along the caudomedial corner of the bone, from the distal extremity along one-fifth of the total tibial length.

**Histology**

The transverse section of the tibial mid-shaft (Figure 2) shows a large free medullary cavity, with a well-defined boundary, surrounded by a narrow cortex with 1.4 to 1.8 mm in thickness (about 34% of the relative radius of the bone). The cortex is composed of a fibrolamellar bone tissue of woven-fibered bone associated with primary osteons (Figures 3A–D). The bone tissue is highly vascularized, and globular osteocyte lacunae are randomly distributed among the primary osteons. In the inner cortex, the primary osteons are large and longitudinally oriented, with few radial and circumferential anastomoses. The outer cortex bears more circumferential anastomoses, with the primary osteons forming a laminar arrangement (Figure 3B). No decrease in vascularization is seen towards the external surface of the cortex. Growth marks [lines of arrested growth (LAGs), or annuli] are absent. There are also no secondary osteons, and therefore, no indication of secondary bone reconstruction.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

The search resulted in 27 most parsimonious trees (Consistency Index = 0.44; Retention Index = 0.62) of 781 steps, the strict consensus of which (Figure 4A) shows...
several collapsed nodes, as in the original analysis performed by Bittencourt et al. (2014). This was mostly caused by the unstable positions of *Pseudolagosuchus major* and *Guaiabasaurus candelariensis*, as identified by the application of IterPCR method (Pol & Escapa, 2009). Pruning those taxa, as performed by Bittencourt et al. (2014), resulted in a better resolved reduced consensus tree (Figure 4B). In both trees, MMACR-PV-028-T is well nested within Sauropodomorpha, closely related to *Plateosaurus engelhardti* von Meyer, 1837.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION**

The phylogenetic analysis recovered MMACR-PV-028-T within Sauropodomorpha. Yet, although some morphological aspects of the specimen are, indeed, reminiscent of the condition seen in early sauropodomorphs (*e.g.* the cranially set fibular condyle and the sub-quadrangular shape of the distal tibia), these traits also have a broader distribution among dinosauriforms. The faint cnemial crest resembles that of early dinosauromorphs (Langer & Benton, 2006), but it is possible that it results from the poor ossification of the extremities, as expected for a not fully ontogenetically developed individual. This hypothesis is consistent with

![Figure 2. General view of the complete cross-section of the tibia of MMACR-PV-028-T at midshaft. The medullary cavity (MC) has a well-defined boundary (black arrow). Scale bar = 1 mm.](image)

![Figure 3. Transverse sections showing the bone histology of the tibia of MMACR-PV-028-T. A, general view of the cortex showing abundant vascular canals. B, higher magnification of the cortex (box inset in A) showing primary osteons with circumferential anastomoses (black arrows). C, perimedullary region with enlarged primary osteons (black arrows). D, same section shown in C under polarized light. Note the deposition of lamellar bone around the primary osteons. Abbreviations: mc, medullary cavity; lb, lamellar bone; po, primary osteons; wb, woven bone. Scale bars: A = 0.2 mm; B–D = 0.1 mm.](image)
the faintness of both the notch that separates the fibular and medial condyles caudally and the proximal transverse groove of the tibia. This poor ossification may also explain the absence of a fibular crest in the lateral surface of the tibia, a structure typically present among early dinosaurs, including several early sauropodomorphs. In the sampled OTUs of our phylogenetic analysis, a fibular crest is also absent only in Plateosaurus engelhardti. This surely helped to enforce their close affinity (Figure 4), which is probably not so strongly constrained.

The sub-quadrangular distal articulation of the tibia of our specimen is reminiscent of that seen in early sauropodomorphs, including Eoraptor lunensis, Panphagia protos, Saturnalia tupiniquim, Adeopapposaurus mognai Martínez, 2009, Unaysaurus tolentinoi, Efraasia minor (von Huene, 1907-1908), and Plateosaurus engelhardti (Langer, 2003; Leal et al., 2004; Langer & Benton, 2006; Martínez, 2009; Martínez & Alcober, 2009; Sereno et al., 2013). Among the sampled OTUs, the tibia of MMACR-PV-028-T resembles that of many early dinosaurs (e.g. Herrerasaurus ischigualastensis Reig, 1963, S. tupiniquim, P. protos) for its sub-quadrangular shape (Novas, 1993; Langer, 2003; Martínez & Alcober, 2009). Many dinosauromorphs, including some early dinosaurs (e.g. Asilisaurus kongwe, Silesaurus opolensis, Marasuchus lilloensis, Staurikosaurus pricei Colbert, 1970, Tawa hallae Nesbitt et al., 2009), bear an elliptical to sub-circular distal tibia outline (Sereno & Arcucci, 1994; Dzik, 2003; Langer & Benton, 2006; Bittencourt & Kellner, 2009; Nesbitt et al., 2009, 2010). However, it is noteworthy that, additional to the subquadrangular shape, the tibia of MMACR-PV-028-T bears a subtle mediolateral expansion, similar to the observed in Plateosaurus engelhardti. Notwithstanding, the tibia of MMACR-PV-028-T is not expanded at the same extend as in Plateosaurus engelhardti, being rather an intermediate between the condition seen in that taxon and early dinosaurs. Early ornithischians (e.g. Lesothosaurus diagnosticus Galton, 1978 and Scelidosaurus harrisonii Owen, 1861) and theropods (e.g. Lilensternus lilensterni (Huene, 1934) and Syntarsus rhodesiensis Raath, 1969) also have a transversely wider distal tibia articulation, but much more marked than in P. engelhardti and MMACR-PV-028-T (Langer & Benton, 2006).

The analysis of the microstructure of MMACR-PV-028-T revealed a highly vascularized, uninterrupted fibrolamellar bone tissue with a predominantly laminar arrangement, which is characteristic of rapid bone deposition and growth (Amprino, 1947; Francillon-Vieillot et al., 1990; Chinsamy-Turan, 2005). This tissue and the vascular arrangement pattern resemble those of other dinosaurs (Padian et al., 2001; Chinsamy-Turan, 2005) including sauropodomorphs, such as Massospondylus carinatus Owen, 1854, Thecodontosaurus antiquus Morris, 1843, Plateosaurus engelhardti, Saturnalia tupiniquim, and Mussaurus patagonicus Bonaparte & Vince, 1979 (Chinsamy, 1993a; Klein & Sander, 2007; Stein & Langer, 2009; Cerda et al., 2014). Some of these studies

---

**Figure 4.** Phylogenetic relationships of MMACR-PV-028-T, based on the data matrix of Bittencourt et al. (2014). **A**, strict consensus of the 27 most parsimonious trees (tree length = 781; CI = 0.44; RI = 0.62). **B**, reduced consensus derived from the application of IterPCR method of Pol & Escapa (2009), resulting in the exclusion of Pseudolagosuchus major and Guaiabasaurus candelariensis.
on early sauropodomorph histology also reported a cortex cyclically interrupted by growth marks (LAGs), which indicate a periodical reduction in bone growth rate, probably due to seasonal stress (Padian & Lamm, 2013).

The bone cortex of MMACR-PV-028-T, in contrast, lacks growth marks. Indeed, it resembles specimens of Massospondylus carinatus described by Cerda et al. (2014). Those authors attributed the absence of LAGs to the ontogenetic stage of the sample and assumed the specimens to consist of small (femur length between 111–120 mm), juvenile individuals, which died before the first year of life. Such interpretation also applies to MMACR-PV-028-T. Assuming the seasonality of growth marks, a short lifespan would prevent the formation of the first LAG, which should appear only in older immature and adult individuals. Additional to the absence of LAGs, other histological features support the hypothesis of the early ontogenetic stage of MMACR-PV-028-T. The woven-fibered bone matrix is indicative of young age, as it represents the earliest stage of fibrolamellar bone formation; commonly found in immature animals with high growth rates (Chinsamy-Turan, 2005; Padian & Lamm, 2013). Additionally, there is no decrease in vascularization towards the outer cortex, which suggests that the specimen was growing at fast rate prior to death. In general, vascularization and growth rate decrease throughout life (Chinsamy, 1993a; Curry, 1999; Horner et al., 2000). The absence of remodeling processes and secondary osteons is also typical of young individuals. The replacement of primary bone by remodeling and the deposition of secondary bone tend to increase with age, as well as the density of secondary osteons (Klein & Sander, 2007, 2008). Finally, the specimen lacks an inner circumferential layer (ICL). The ICL is an endosteal deposition of lamellar bone that occurs as a result of the enlargement and remodeling of the medullary cavity. It is reported in several dinosaurs (see Chinsamy-Turan, 2005) and indicates ontogenetic maturity (Reid, 1997).

The young ontogenetic stage of MMACR-PV-028-T, supported by its small size and histological features, can explain the faint ossification of the proximal end of the tibia, and would also be responsible for the lack of some osteological traits, such as the fibular crest. Additionally, recognized as such, MMACR-PV-028-T is the first juvenile dinosaur specimen reported for the Brazilian Triassic.
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